# **COMPARISON OF STRUCTURES**

Note: Green are pros and Brown are cons

# **Marketing Support**

- Ability to promote businesses and private industry through tools like Explore Rockville, which has a business directory and calendar for city and non-city events.
- Ability to tailor messaging to different audiences like tourists, employees, employers, and investors.
- More flexibility in branding and messaging to craft campaigns and public messages that align with market trends and business language, rather than needing to conform to government communication protocols.
- Ability to create placemaking and identity through marketing and other placemaking efforts like Rock East, Biotech Corridor, etc.
- REDI's marketing may not be automatically aligned with broader city initiatives missing opportunities for cross-promotional impact.
- REDI must independently fund or outsource marketing functions and remain independent on external tools, which can slow execution and increase costs.

# **Funding & Programming**

- Private entities are often more comfortable donating to or partnering with a nonprofit than a government entity, increasing potential sponsorships or philanthropic funding.
- Incentive programs are run by a committee, which provides separation in decision-making from the political process.
- Ability to host MBWC as an SBA program, which gives a competitive edge, as most EDOs do not also

# **Marketing Support**

- Communication efforts are more easily aligned with the city's overall communication strategy and priorities, creating a cohesive message for residents, businesses, and investors.
- Marketing content may move more smoothly through internal city review channels when produced by in-house staff.
- Economic development marketing can be more easily tied into broader city campaigns (e.g., sustainability, equity, housing), enhancing visibility and crossdepartmental collaboration.
- Restricted in ability to promote private businesses or city assets not for public benefit/service.
- Would not be able to host the tools for businesses such as Explore Rockville's events calendar or the business directory.
- Economic Development initiatives and programs may not have as much visibility to the public or business community.

# **Funding & Programming**

- Could still provide incentive programs, and if funded, staff can provide technical support services and entrepreneurship support possibly without federal or other local government funding or there could be a hybrid model where a separate non-profit is retained to deliver technical services through MWBC with outside funding.
- Grant compliance, audits, reporting, and financial

- provide technical business support services.
- MWBC elevates the ecosystem as a place that encourages entrepreneurship and innovation, which is an underpinning of the key industry areas of bio/life science, small-scale manufacturing, and other technology companies.
- Managing multiple grants with varied reporting requirements diverts staff time from direct business support and strategic planning.
- management would be supported by existing city departments (Finance, Legal, Procurement), reducing overhead for program administration.
- Economic development functions are typically built into the city's base budget, providing greater year-to-year stability and predictability and providing stable core funding.
- If delivery of all REDI services is brought in house, the city would need to absorb costs currently covered by other jurisdictions for entrepreneurship/technical assistance if retaining entrepreneurial technical assistance is desired.
- The city is not eligible for some external funding sources like grants for non-profits, foundations, etc.

#### **Infrastructure & Operations support**

- A separate entity EDO is nimbler in procurement, contract management, and decision-making, as they are not "in line" for services from procurement or other departments.
- Lack of internal infrastructure and operations support and must outsource some IT, HR, Finance, and Legal support.
- Required to follow the Open Meetings Act, the Maryland FOIA, and the Maryland Archive requirements, without City Clerk or Legal Department support.

#### **Infrastructure & Operations support**

- In-house support for IT, HR, Finance, Legal, Records, and Open Meetings.
- The City Manager would direct work rather than an autonomous board.
- Easier access to city staff for planning & collaboration.
- Cost savings on rent are unlikely, as the Economic Development team would not be in City Hall, and most departments require separate offices to ensure confidentiality for businesses.
- Economic development work often demands agility and operating as a

city department could create procurement delays and bureaucratic constraints.

### **Hiring Employees/Board Function**

- Participation by high-level business owners and executives who are more engaged by being a part of an autonomous Board.
- Ability of business leaders to provide business perspective and vision for the future to guide the Mayor and Council.
- Ability to access key business leaders to get input on pressing current issues and proposed ordinance/regulatory changes.
- Able to attract staff with government and non-government experience, which businesses appreciate.
  Employees are mission driven.
- REDI staff are recognized as thought leaders in economic development across the State.
- Jobs may not seem as stable because each year requires a funding discussion
- Limited ability to influence other Rockville city departments; REDI staff can be treated as outsiders instead of partners.
- Funding for MWBC positions is competitive with respect to some other small non-profits, but not with government and private sector positions, which leads to turnover.
- Limited funding from Rockville, impacts staffing levels for business development activities, is currently at capacity without additional staff.

# **Hiring Employees/Board Function**

- Economic Development staff would be "on the team," & able to interject the business point of view in decision internally.
- Economic development will become part of the city's overall management structure, allowing for more holistic integration into land use, housing, transportation, and infrastructure planning.
- Eliminates the complexity of managing an autonomous board, streamlining decision-making and administrative oversight.
- Without an autonomous board composed of business leaders or developers, there is less risk of perceived or actual conflicts in decision-making related to programming or initiatives.
- Employees would have municipal benefits and might have a perception of more job security.
- Business leaders and industry experts have less formal influence over program direction, innovation, and responsiveness to market trends.
- Without an active board structure, the city may struggle to foster deep partnerships with the business community, which can impact referrals, credibility, and collaborative funding opportunities.
- A Business Advisory Board is unlikely to get the same participation as the current REDI board.
- Employment may not be attractive to entrepreneurial professionals who value performance-based

the contract of the contract o
incentives, flexibility, or innovation-
driven environmente
ariven environments.