

CITY OF ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

**Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Together with
Reports of Independent Public Accountants**

For the Year Ended June 30, 2025

CITY OF ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

**Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Together with
Reports of Independent Public Accountants**

JUNE 30, 2025

CONTENTS

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON THE AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT	1
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH <i>GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS</i>	5
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE	7
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	10
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	11
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	12
Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs	13



Certified Public
Accountants &
Business Advisors

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
ON THE AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Honorable Mayor, Members of the City Council, and City Management
City of Rockville, Maryland

Opinions

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Rockville, Maryland (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements.

In our opinion, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information, of the City as of June 30, 2025, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We did not audit the financial statements of the blended component unit, Rockville Economic Development Inc. (REDI), which represent 2.21 percent, 2.05 percent, and 0.69 percent, of the assets, fund balance, and revenues, respectively, of the governmental funds. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose report thereon has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for REDI, is based solely on the report of the other auditors.

Basis for Opinions

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* (GAS), issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements* section of our report. The financial statements of REDI were not audited in accordance with GAS. We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal controls relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the City's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

Auditor's Responsibility for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and GAS will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal controls. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and GAS, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal controls relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal controls. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the City's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.



Certified Public
Accountants &
Business Advisors

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal controls-related matters that we identified during the audit.

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, Rockville Employee Retirement System – schedule of changes in the City's net pension liability and related ratios and schedule of City contributions and investment returns, Other Post-Employment Benefits Plan – schedule of changes in the City's net OPEB liability (asset) and related ratios and schedule of City contributions and investment returns, and the budgetary comparison schedule – general fund, as listed in the table of contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The budgetary comparison schedule – debt service fund, combining balance sheet – nonmajor governmental funds, combining statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances – nonmajor governmental funds, budgetary comparison schedule – nonmajor governmental funds, budgetary comparison schedule – enterprise funds, combining statement of fiduciary net position – pension and OPEB trust fund, and combining statement of changes in fiduciary net position - pension and OPEB trust fund and schedule of expenditures of federal awards, as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing



Certified Public
Accountants &
Business Advisors

standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Information

Management is responsible for the other information included in the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR). The other information comprises the Introductory and Statistical Sections but does not include the basic financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. Our opinions on the basic financial statements do not cover the other information, and we do not express an opinion or any form of assurance thereon. In connection with our audit of the basic financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and consider whether a material inconsistency exists between the other information and the basic financial statements, or the other information otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If, based on the work performed, we conclude that an uncorrected material misstatement of the other information exists, we are required to describe it in our report.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated October 30, 2025, on our consideration of the City's internal controls over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal controls over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal controls over financial reporting and compliance.

Owings Mills, Maryland
October 30, 2025

SBC & Company, LLC



Certified Public
Accountants &
Business Advisors

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON INTERNAL CONTROLS
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS
BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS***

Honorable Mayor, Members of the City Council, and City Management
City of Rockville, Maryland

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*), the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Rockville, Maryland (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 30, 2025.

Report on Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.



Certified Public
Accountants &
Business Advisors

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Owings Mills, Maryland
October 30, 2025

SBC Company, LLC



Certified Public
Accountants &
Business Advisors

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Honorable Mayor, Members of the City Council, and City Management
City of Rockville, Maryland

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We have audited City of Rockville, Maryland's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2025. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of independent public accountant's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2025.

Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance* section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.



Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal controls over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the City's federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal controls. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the City's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the City's internal controls over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal controls over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal controls over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal controls over compliance that we identified during the audit.



Certified Public
Accountants &
Business Advisors

Report on Internal Controls over Compliance

A *deficiency in internal controls over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal controls over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal controls over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal controls over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal controls over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal controls over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal controls over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance* section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal controls over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal controls over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal controls over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal controls over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal controls over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal controls over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal controls over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Owings Mills, Maryland
December 12, 2025

SBC & Company, LLC

CITY OF ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2025

Federal Grantor/Pass Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Pass Through Entity Identifying Number	Federal Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
Department of Housing and Urban Development				
<u>Pass through Montgomery County:</u>				
<i>CDBG - Entitlement/Special Purpose Grants Cluster</i>				
Community Development Block Grant - Year 49	14.218	1108455	\$ 263,000	\$ -
<i>Total CDBG - Entitlement/Special Purpose Grants Cluster</i>			<u>263,000</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Department of Housing and Urban Development			<u>263,000</u>	<u>-</u>
Department of Justice				
Bulletproof Vest Partnership	16.607	2019-BUBX19042400	4,554	-
Interoperable Police Radio Communications Equipment	16.710	N/A	963,000	-
Total Department of Justice			<u>967,554</u>	<u>-</u>
Department of Transportation				
<u>Pass through Maryland State Highway Administration:</u>				
<i>FMCSA Cluster</i>				
Motor Carrier Safety Grant	20.218	AX077A9F	<u>7,836</u>	<u>-</u>
<i>Total FMCSA Cluster</i>			<u>7,836</u>	<u>-</u>
<u>Pass through MWCOG:</u>				
<i>Transit Services Programs Cluster</i>				
Enhanced Mobility Grant	20.513	Unknown	<u>74,810</u>	<u>-</u>
<i>Total Transit Services Programs Cluster</i>			<u>74,810</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Department of Transportation			<u>82,646</u>	<u>-</u>
Department of Health and Human Services				
<u>Pass through Montgomery County:</u>				
<i>Aging Cluster</i>				
Senior Nutrition Grant	93.045	Unknown	<u>19,744</u>	<u>-</u>
<i>Total Aging Cluster</i>			<u>19,744</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Department of Homeland Security			<u>19,744</u>	<u>-</u>
Department of Homeland Security				
<u>Pass through Montgomery County:</u>				
State Homeland Security Grant	97.067		13,889	
Emergency Operations Center	97.047	N/A	7,358	-
Rockville Flood Resiliency Plan	97.029	N/A	<u>309,233</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Department of Homeland Security			<u>330,480</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			<u>\$ 1,663,424</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

CITY OF ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2025

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

All federal grant operations of the City of Rockville, Maryland (the City) are included in the scope of the Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (the Single Audit or Uniform Guidance). The Single Audit was performed in accordance with the provisions of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Compliance Supplement (the Compliance Supplement). Compliance testing of all requirements, as described in the Compliance Supplement, was performed for the major grant program noted below. The programs on the accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the Schedule) represent all federal award programs and other grants with fiscal year 2025 cash or non-cash expenditure activities. For our Single Audit testing, we tested the federal award program with 2025 cash and non-cash expenditures to ensure coverage of at least 40% of federally granted funds. Actual coverage was 58%.

Identification of major program:

Major Program	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Federal Expenditures
Interoperable Police Radio Communications Equipment	16.710	\$ 963,000

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. The City has elected not to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying Schedule includes the federal award activity of the City and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in the Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance.

CITY OF ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2025

Section I - Summary of Independent Public Accountants' Results

Financial Statements

Type of Independent Public Accountant' report issued:	Unmodified
Internal controls over financial reporting:	
Material weakness(es) identified?	No
Significant deficiency(ies)?	None Reported
Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?	No

Federal Awards

Type of Independent Public Accountant' report issued on compliance for major programs	Unmodified
Internal controls over major programs:	
Material weakness(es) identified?	No
Significant deficiency(ies)?	None Reported
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 200.516(a) of Uniform Guidance?	No

Major Program	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Federal Expenditures
Interoperable Police Radio Communications Equipment	16.710	\$ 963,000
Threshold for distinguishing between Type A and B programs		\$ 750,000

Does the City qualify as a low risk auditee? No

Section II – Financial Statement Findings

None noted.

Section III – Federal Award Findings

None noted.

CITY OF ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

**Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs
For the Year Ended June 30, 2025**

The City spent less than \$750,000 during the year ended June 30, 2024, and a single audit was not required.